

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,001	10/18/2001	Stephen R. Forrest	PUAS-0016	8094
23377	7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK WASHBURN LLP	ULLAH, AKM E		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELI	PHIA, PA 19103		2874	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/982,001	FORREST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Akm Enayet Ullah	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
'A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 41-67 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 41-67 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4/4	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2874

Detailed Action

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application is a continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 09/337,785 filed June 22, 1999, now USPNO. 6,381,380 which claims benefit of U.S. provisional Application Serial No. 60/090,451 filed June 24, 1998.

Claims 41- 67 are pending in this application.

Drawings

The drawing filed on August 27, 1999 has been approved by the Draftsperson.

Statutory Type Double Patenting Rejection

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 09/982,001

Art Unit: 2874

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 40-41 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1,5 and 7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,819,814. This is a double patenting rejection.

Nonstatutory Double Patenting Rejection

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 43-54 and 56 - 67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,819,814. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in an integrated device/ photonic device having a first mode & a second mode of light propagating comprising a first waveguide and a second waveguide coupled and the second waveguide having a lateral taper formed therein for guiding light between the first waveguide and second waveguide have no difference wherein an optical power is propagated by even and odd modes of light propagating having a first active region and second passive region etc.

With respect to claims 43 & 57, wherein lateral taper has an exponential curve is an inherent of the reference.

With respect to claims 47 & 60, wherein said second waveguide has a higher effective index of refraction than said first waveguide. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill that if first and second waveguides having different index of refraction it means that either first or second waveguide would be higher or lower than each other.

With respect to claims 48 & 62, wherein further comprises a substrate. In any integrated circuit having a substrate is an inherent of that integrated circuit structure. Thus, it is obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,001

Art Unit: 2874

With respect to claims 49-50 & 63-64, wherein sad device comprises a laser and the laser comprises at least one quantum well are also inherent of the reference since, in any photonic integrated circuits (PIC) can include an integrated laser and any other active and passive semiconductor optical devices.

With respect to claims 53-54 & 66-67, wherein device comprises at least one optical detector and an optical amplifier and the device is a photonic integrated circuit are also inherent of the reference since, in any photonic integrated circuits (PIC) can include an optical amplifier.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akm Enayet Ullah whose telephone number is 571-272-2361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on Monday through Friday @ 571-272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ákm Enayet Ullah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874

A. Ullah

May 15, 2006